



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/685,322

10/14/2003

Mark Hirst

200309706-1

5015

22879 7590 07/27/2007

HEWLETT PACKARD COMPANY
P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION
FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400

EXAMINER

YAN, REN LUO

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2854

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

07/27/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****U.S. Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

1-1-D

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
10685322	10/14/2003	HIRST ET AL.	200309706-1

HEWLETT PACKARD COMPANY
P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION
FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400

EXAMINER

Ren L. Yan

ART UNIT	PAPER
----------	-------

2854

20070718

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

The reply filed on 5-14-2007 is not fully responsive to the prior Office Action because of the following omission(s) or matter(s): Applicant made arguments with respect to claim 3 and then stated that independent claims 13, 25, 35, 44 and 47 have been amended to include limitations similar to those of independent claims and therefore, claims 13, 25, 35, 44 and 47 are believed to be allowable for the same reasons as argued for claim 3. This is not found to be accurate. A review of independent claims 44 and 47 indicates that the amendment to those two claims, especially for claim 44 is much broader than the amendment to claim 3. Therefore, the arguments made for claim 3 do not apply to claims 44 and 47. As a result, applicant has failed to point out the specific distinctions believed to render claims 44 and 47 patentable over the applied prior art. See 37 CFR 1.111 and MPEP 714.02. Since the above-mentioned reply appears to be bona fide, applicant is given ONE (1) MONTH or THIRTY (30) DAYS from the mailing date of this notice, whichever is longer, within which to supply the omission or correction in order to avoid abandonment. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Any inquiry regarding this communication should be directed to Ren Yan at telephone number 571-272-2173.

Ren L Yan
Primary Examiner
Art Unit: 2854